

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the subject matter of this application is requested.

CLAIM STATUS

Claims 1-21 were originally presented. New claims 22-40 were added by the last amendment. Claims 22 and 32 were the only independent claims presented and both stand rejected. These claims have been canceled. Claims 23 and 33 have been amended in accordance with the suggestion of the Examiner to incorporate all the limitations of claims 22 and 32 respectively. The dependent claims remain as before, with dependency on claims 23 and 33 respectively. Claims 23 and 33 have been indicated as allowable, as well as the remaining claims that are dependent on the allowed claims.

Rejection

Claims 22 and 32 stand rejected in view of Applicants' disclosure in view of the Akram et al. patent. These claims have been canceled, without prejudice, to advance the prosecution. As a practical matter, claims 23 and 33 respectively, are believed to provide essentially the same coverage. Although applicants have canceled claims 22 and 32, the arguments made previously that no reference or combination of references shows a digital RF chip and an analog RF chip mounted in a single stack is believed still valid. Evidently the examiner found the limitations regarding through hole interconnections as distinguishing limitations. If that is the basis for allowance, applicants agree with that analysis.

Respectfully,



Peter V.D. Wilde
Reg. No. 19658

Date: _____
Law Firm of Peter V.D. Wilde
301 East Landing
Williamsburg, VA 23185